

# Smoking and serum vitamin D in older Chinese people: cross-sectional analysis based on the Guangzhou Biobank Cohort Study

Jiang, C Q; Cheng, Kar; Chan, Y H; Xu, L; Jin, Y L; Zhu, T; Zhang, W S; Lam, T H

DOI:

[10.1136/bmjopen-2015-010946](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2015-010946)

License:

Creative Commons: Attribution-NonCommercial (CC BY-NC)

*Document Version*

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

*Citation for published version (Harvard):*

Jiang, CQ, Cheng, K, Chan, YH, Xu, L, Jin, YL, Zhu, T, Zhang, WS & Lam, TH 2016, 'Smoking and serum vitamin D in older Chinese people: cross-sectional analysis based on the Guangzhou Biobank Cohort Study', *BMJ open*, vol. 6, e010946. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2015-010946>

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

## General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

## Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact [UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk](mailto:UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk) providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

# BMJ Open Smoking and serum vitamin D in older Chinese people: cross-sectional analysis based on the Guangzhou Biobank Cohort Study

C Q Jiang,<sup>1</sup> Y H Chan,<sup>2</sup> L Xu,<sup>3</sup> Y L Jin,<sup>1</sup> T Zhu,<sup>1</sup> W S Zhang,<sup>1</sup> K K Cheng,<sup>4</sup> T H Lam<sup>2</sup>

**To cite:** Jiang CQ, Chan YH, Xu L, *et al.* Smoking and serum vitamin D in older Chinese people: cross-sectional analysis based on the Guangzhou Biobank Cohort Study. *BMJ Open* 2016;**6**:e010946. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2015-010946

► Prepublication history for this paper is available online. To view these files please visit the journal online (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2015-010946>).

Received 22 December 2015  
Revised 14 April 2016  
Accepted 3 May 2016



CrossMark

<sup>1</sup>Guangzhou No 12 Hospital, Guangzhou, China

<sup>2</sup>Division of Cardiology, Department of Medicine, Queen Mary Hospital, the University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China

<sup>3</sup>School of Public Health, the University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China

<sup>4</sup>Institute of Applied Health Research, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK

## Correspondence to

Dr L Xu;  
[linxu@hku.hk](mailto:linxu@hku.hk)

## ABSTRACT

**Objective:** Studies on serum vitamin D in smokers showed conflicting results. We examined the association of smoking status with serum vitamin D in older Chinese men, taking advantage of a community-based sample with natural exposure to vitamin D.

**Design:** Cross-sectional study based on the Guangzhou Biobank Cohort Study (GBCS).

**Setting:** Community-based sample from the Guangzhou Biobank Cohort Study.

**Participants:** 612 male participants aged 50+years recruited from 2009 to 2011.

**Results:** The mean (SD) of vitamin D concentration was 58.3 (17.2), 57.0 (15.0) and 54.7 (15.4) nmol/L for never, former and current smokers, respectively. Adjusted for multiple confounders, vitamin D decreased from never to former, then to current smokers (P for trend 0.02). Compared to never smokers, current smokers had lower serum concentrations of vitamin D, and the concentrations decreased with the increasing number of cigarettes per day (−3.11 (95% CI −9.05 to 2.82), −3.29 (−8.3 to 1.72) and −4.61 (−8.89 to −0.33) for 1–9, 10–19 and 20+ cigarettes per day, respectively; p for trend 0.01), duration of smoking (−1.39 (−6.09 to 3.30) and −5.39 (−9.42 to −1.35) for 1–39 and 40+years, respectively; p for trend 0.008) as well as pack-years (−2.89 (−6.78 to 1.01) and −5.58 (−10.48 to −0.67) for 1–39 and 40+pack-years, respectively; p for trend 0.009). Longer duration of quitting smoking was associated with higher vitamin D than was current smoking (P for trend 0.04).

**Conclusions:** Current smokers had lower vitamin D than never smokers, and the association showed a dose–response pattern.

## INTRODUCTION

Lower serum levels of 25-hydroxyvitamin D (vitamin D) have been linked to a number of chronic diseases, such as fractures,<sup>1</sup> diabetes<sup>2</sup> and cardiovascular disease.<sup>3</sup> The factors that may influence serum vitamin D concentration include skin type, body mass index, season

## Strengths and limitations of this study

- The association of smoking with serum vitamin D was mixed.
- Most, but not all, studies reported lower serum vitamin D in current smokers than in never smokers, and few studies examined the dose–response association between smoking patterns and serum vitamin D.
- Taking advantage of a sample selected from the Guangzhou Biobank Cohort Study with natural exposure to vitamin D, and the detailed assessed smoking habit, we could investigate the association of smoking with serum vitamin D level more clearly.

and vitamin D ingested from foods or supplements. Other lifestyles that may influence serum vitamin D concentrations have not been well examined. Specifically, the association of smoking with serum vitamin D concentrations was unclear. Most of the recent studies reported lower serum vitamin D in current smokers than in never smokers.<sup>4–8</sup> However, results from the Tromsø study showed the opposite—that current smokers had higher levels of serum vitamin D than never smokers, in a sample of 6932 participants, and also in a subsample of 107 (50% current smokers) participants.<sup>9</sup> One possible explanation is that, in the West, smokers tend to smoke outside their home or office and thus may have higher sunlight exposure and subsequently lead to higher vitamin D concentrations. In Western countries, it is culturally inappropriate to smoke indoors, since the harms of secondhand smoke were established a few decades ago. Thus the influence of smoking on vitamin D, if any, may be confounded by sunlight exposure during outdoor smoking. In China, most of the cities did not have indoor public-place smoking

bans until very recently, and smoking in indoor places was quite acceptable. Guangzhou, one of the largest economically developed cities in China, did not adopt any legislation on indoor public place smoking ban until 2010. Moreover, very few, if any, older Chinese people use vitamin D or multivitamin supplements. Taking advantage of a sample selected from the Guangzhou Biobank Cohort Study (GBCS) without vitamin D supplementation, we could investigate the association of smoking with serum vitamin D level more clearly.

## METHODS

The GBCS is a three-way collaboration among the Guangzhou No 12 Hospital, and the Universities of Birmingham and Hong Kong. Details of the setting and participant recruitment have been described elsewhere.<sup>10</sup> From September 2003 to January 2008, 30 430 permanent Guangzhou residents aged 50 years or above were recruited and attended a half-day detailed assessment session, which included a structured interview and a physical examination. Within our sample, the participants had fairly similar levels of chronic diseases such as diabetes and hypertension to nationally representative samples of urban Chinese.<sup>10</sup> All GBCS participants were invited to return during March 2008–December 2012, for a follow-up examination. The methods and some results based on the follow-up data have been published elsewhere.<sup>11 12</sup>

The present study included 612 unselected men who returned for follow-up at the second examination, from 2009 to 2011, and had serum vitamin D measured. Physical examination included an interview on lifestyle, family and personal medical history, and measurement of weight, height, waist circumference, blood pressure, fasting plasma glucose, lipids and inflammatory markers. We collected detailed information on physical activity, using the Chinese version of the International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ). The validity and reliability of this questionnaire was assessed in 224 participants during a 7-day interval, and the results showed adequate test–retest reliability (intraclass correlation coefficient=0.89).<sup>13</sup> Participants were asked to recall the amount of time during the past week spent on physical activity, including vigorous activity such as heavy lifting, digging, aerobics or fast bicycling and moderate activity such as carrying light loads, bicycling at a regular pace or doubles tennis and walking. Frequency of and average amount of time per day spent on exercise were noted. A metabolic equivalent value (MET) was assigned to each type of activity according to accepted standards (see <http://www.ipaq.ki.se>), where 1 MET is a resting metabolic rate obtained during quiet sitting. MET value is 3.3 for walking, four for moderate activity and eight for vigorous activity. Participants were classified as either physically active, moderately active or inactive, according to the IPAQ. Physically active was defined as having vigorous activity at least 3 days a week, achieving at least 1500 MET minutes per week or activity on 7 days of the

week achieving at least 3000 MET minutes per week. Moderately active was defined as having vigorous activity at least 3 days a week, achieving 480 METs, or at least 5 days of any combination of walking, moderate or vigorous activities achieving at least 600 METs. Those who did not meet the criteria for active or moderately active were considered to be physically inactive.

## Exposures

Well-trained interviewers collected smoking data, using a standardised questionnaire. Smoking was defined as having had smoked at least one cigarette per day or seven cigarettes per week for at least half a year. ‘Current smoker’ was defined by answering ‘yes’ to the question: ‘Do you smoke cigarettes now?’. ‘Former smoker’ was defined as ‘used to smoke but not smoking currently’.<sup>14 15</sup> Information on duration of quitting smoking (years) was also collected. Reliability of the questionnaire was tested at baseline and the result was satisfactory.  $\kappa$  Values were 0.88 and 0.96 for the two questions about smoking status.<sup>14</sup> Several papers on smoking from GBCS have been published, suggesting that the smoking data should be reliable.<sup>14–16</sup>

## Outcome

Serum vitamin D was the study outcome, which was measured using an Enzymeimmunoassay by Uranus (AE 90) Auto Analyzer. The serum levels of 25-hydroxyvitamin D (unit: nmol/L) were assessed using ELISA (IDS 25-Hydroxy Vitamin D EIA kit, Immunodiagnostic Systems, UK). The intra-assay CV for 25-hydroxyvitamin D ranged from 4.6% to 8.7%, and the interassay CV ranged from 5.3% to 6.7%.

## Statistical analysis

The normality for vitamin D was tested by the Shapiro-Wilk normality test in STATA. As we cannot reject the fact that vitamin D was normally distributed, data analysis was based on the original scale of vitamin D. Pearson  $\chi^2$  test and one-way analysis of variance were used to compare baseline characteristics by smoking status. A multivariable general linear model was used to examine the crude and adjusted associations of smoking status with vitamin D, from which the crude and adjusted regression coefficient and 95% CI were reported. Statistical analyses were performed using STATA/IC 10.1 (Stata Corp LP, College Station, Texas, USA).

## RESULTS

Among 612 men, 270 (44%) were never smokers, 154 (25%) former smokers and 188 (31%) were current smokers. Former smokers were older than current and never smokers, while current and never smokers were of similar age. Current smokers had lower education and prevalence of self-reported hypertension and cardiovascular disease, lower levels of body mass index and serum

**Table 1** Demographic characteristics of 612 older Chinese men

	Smoking status			p Value
	Never (n=270)	Former (n=154)	Current (n=188)	
Age; mean (SD), year	64.8 (6.7)	66.0 (6.3)	63.4 (6.3)	0.001
Education, %				
Primary or below	15.7	24.7	33.0	<0.001
Middle school	59.3	66.2	55.9	
College or above	25.0	9.1	11.1	
Physical activity, %				
Inactive	5.6	5.2	13.3	0.005
Moderate	30.0	33.8	35.6	
Active	64.4	61.0	51.1	
Alcohol use, %				
Never	51.2	30.9	35.8	<0.001
Ever	48.8	69.1	64.2	
Self-reported diabetes, %				
No	94.1	91.6	94.7	0.46
Yes	5.9	8.4	5.3	
Self-reported hypertension, %				
No	72.0	66.9	78.9	0.04
Yes	28.0	33.1	21.1	
Self-reported cardiovascular disease, %				
No	94.1	88.3	95.7	0.02
Yes	5.9	11.7	4.3	
Self-reported respiratory diseases, %				
No	92.6	93.5	93.1	0.94
Yes	7.4	6.5	6.9	
Body mass index; mean (SD), kg/m <sup>2</sup>	24.3 (3.0)	24.3 (2.9)	23.2 (3.2)	<0.001
Waist circumference, mean (SD), cm	85.8 (9.1)	86.3 (9.0)	85.0 (8.8)	0.39
25-hydroxyvitamin D; mean (SD), nmol/L	58.3 (17.2)	57.0 (15.0)	54.7 (15.4)	0.07

Data presented as mean (SD) or percentage (%).

vitamin D concentrations, and tended to be less physically active than never or former smokers (table 1).

Table 2 shows that, compared with never smokers, serum vitamin D concentration was lower in current smokers by  $-3.85$  (95% CI  $-7.1$  to  $-0.59$ ) nmol/L, adjusted for age, education, alcohol use, physical activity, body mass index and self-reported diabetes, hypertension, cardiovascular disease and respiratory disease. Compared to never smokers, current smokers had lower serum concentrations of vitamin D, and the concentrations decreased with the increasing number of cigarettes per day ( $-3.11$  (95% CI  $-9.05$  to  $2.82$ ),  $-3.29$  ( $-8.3$  to  $1.72$ ) and  $-4.61$  ( $-8.89$  to  $-0.33$ ) nmol/L for 1–9, 10–19 and 20+ cigarettes per day, respectively; p for trend 0.01), duration of smoking ( $-1.39$  ( $-6.09$  to  $3.30$ ) and  $-5.39$  ( $-9.42$  to  $-1.35$ ) nmol/L for 1–39 and 40+ years, respectively; p for trend 0.008) as well as pack-years ( $-2.89$  ( $-6.78$  to  $1.01$ ) and  $-5.58$  ( $-10.48$  to  $-0.67$ ) nmol/L for 1–39 and 40+ pack-years, respectively; p for trend 0.009). Longer duration of quitting smoking was associated with higher vitamin D than was current smoking (p for trend 0.04). Analysis in current smokers showed that, compared to smokers with the lowest intensity of exposure (1–9 cigarettes per day; 1–39 years of duration and by pack-years), those with higher levels of exposure consistently showed lower levels of vitamin D,

and the result was statistically significant in those with smoking duration of 40+ years ( $\beta -6.55$ , 95% CI  $-12.09$  to  $-1.01$ ) (table 2).

## DISCUSSION

Based on a community-based sample with natural exposure to vitamin D, our study showed that current smoking was associated with lower serum concentrations of vitamin D than those of never smokers, and the association showed a dose–response pattern, with greater number of cigarettes per day, longer smoking duration and more pack-years being associated with lower vitamin D. Moreover, former smokers with a longer duration of quitting (20+ years) had higher vitamin D levels than did current smokers. Taking advantage of the current setting, where taking vitamin D supplements is rare (<1%) and indoor smoking was common, our results of the negative association of smoking with vitamin D were less likely to be biased or confounded.

Our study is in line with several recent studies showing current smoking to be associated with vitamin D deficiency or lower serum vitamin D concentrations,<sup>4–8 17–20</sup> and provided complementary information on the dose–response pattern. Recently, Soldin and colleagues found an adverse effect of smoking on the synthesis of steroid hormones, including vitamin D.<sup>21</sup> The exact mechanisms

**Table 2** Crude and adjusted regression coefficient ( $\beta$ -coefficient, 95% CI) for serum vitamin D concentration (nmol/L) by cigarette smoking status in men

	Number	Crude $\beta$ -coefficient (95% CI)	Adjusted $\beta$ -coefficient (95% CI)	Adjusted $\beta$ -coefficient (95% CI)
<b>Smoking status</b>				
Never	270	Reference (0.00)	Reference (0.00)	—
Former	154	−1.31 (−4.5 to 1.88)	−0.92 (−4.29 to 2.45)	—
Current	188	−3.53 (−6.53 to −0.53)*	−3.85 (−7.1 to −0.59)*	—
P for trend		0.02	0.02	—
<b>Amount of cigarette smoking per day†</b>				
Never	270	Reference (0.00)	Reference (0.00)	—
1–9	37	−2.88 (−8.55 to 2.8)	−3.11 (−9.05 to 2.82)	Reference (0.00)
10–19	62	−2.69 (−7.25 to 1.87)	−3.29 (−8.3 to 1.72)	−0.54 (−7.48 to 6.41)
20+	89	−4.39 (−8.34 to −0.43)**	−4.61 (−8.89 to −0.33)*	−1.98 (−8.44 to 4.48)
P for trend		0.01	0.01	0.50
<b>Smoking duration (years)†‡</b>				
Never	270	Reference (0.00)	Reference (0.00)	—
1–39	77	−1.45 (−5.64 to 2.74)	−1.39 (−6.09 to 3.30)	Reference (0.00)
40+	103	−5.05 (−8.81 to −1.29)**	−5.39 (−9.42 to −1.35)**	−6.55 (−12.09 to −1.01)*
P for trend		0.01	0.008	—
<b>Smoking status by pack-years†</b>				
Never	270	Reference (0.00)	Reference (0.00)	—
1–39	119	−2.79 (−6.37 to 0.78)	−2.89 (−6.78 to 1.01)	Reference (0.00)
40+	61	−4.91 (−9.52 to −0.30)**	−5.58 (−10.48 to −0.67)*	−3.95 (−9.17 to 1.27)
P for trend		0.02	0.009	—
<b>Duration of quitting (years)‡</b>				
Current smokers	188	Reference (0.00)	Reference (0.00)	—
1–9	50	−1.39 (−6.11 to 3.33)	−0.70 (−5.74 to 4.34)	—
10–19	50	2.22 (−2.50 to 6.94)	3.55 (−1.57 to 8.66)	—
20+	47	5.34 (0.51 to 10.18)*	4.66 (−0.45 to 9.77)	—
P for trend		0.03	0.04	—

Adjusted coefficient: adjusting for age, education, alcohol use, physical activity, body mass index and self-reported diabetes, hypertension, cardiovascular disease and respiratory disease.

\* $p < 0.05$ ; \*\* $p < 0.01$ .

†Excluding former smokers.

‡Eight current smokers with missing information on duration of smoking and seven former smokers with missing information on duration of quitting were excluded from data analysis here only.

by which smoking affects vitamin D metabolisms are still unclear. One possibility is that current smokers had a lower-vitamin D dietary pattern than never smokers, which may, partly, if at all, explain the negative association of smoking and vitamin D in our study. The other possible explanation is that chemicals in tobacco smoke may have a direct effect on vitamin D metabolism and function.<sup>17</sup> Moreover, there is evidence that smoking may change the expression of some genes that play a role in the metabolic pathway of vitamin D.<sup>17 22</sup>

There are several limitations in the current study. First, this was a cross-sectional study. Whether smoking reduces vitamin D or if there is an association between smoking and vitamin D levels could not be confirmed due to residual confounding. Given the unquestionable harmful effects of tobacco smoke, it is unethical to conduct randomised controlled trials on smoking. Further interventional studies on animal models or Mendelian randomisation studies using genetic instruments as proxies of smoking<sup>23</sup> may help to clarify the causal relation between smoking and serum vitamin

D. Second, information on sunlight exposure was not available in our study and thus could not be adjusted in the data analysis. However, we adjusted for physical activity, a proxy for sunlight exposure, in the regression model and the results did not change. Further studies taking into account average duration of sunlight exposure are warranted. Third, because very few Chinese women smoked,<sup>24</sup> our results on men cannot be generalised to women, although there is no evidence suggesting that the effect of smoking on vitamin D varies by sex. Fourth, in older people, endogenous vitamin D synthesis were limited (lower skin concentrations of the vitamin D precursor, 7-Dehydrocholesterol) and dietary vitamin D could affect vitamin D status. The lack of detailed assessment of dietary vitamin D intake may be a concern. However, it is unlikely that dietary intake per se affects the smoking status—the underlying factors could be socioeconomic position (SEP). For example, people of lower SEP have more of a tendency to smoke than those of higher SEP and people of higher SEP tend to select foods rich in vitamin D, such as milk.<sup>25</sup> We have



adjusted for education as a proxy of SEP in this study. Thus the potential confounding effect of dietary vitamin D intake due to SEP, if any, should not be a major concern. Fifth, the sample size of the current study was relatively small, particularly in detailed assessment of the linearity of smoking exposure and vitamin D. Finally, our participants were relatively healthy survivors and might not be fully representative of the general population. However, within sex and age group, the participants of the GBCS had fairly similar levels of chronic diseases such as diabetes and hypertension to those of nationally representative samples of urban Chinese.<sup>10</sup> The strengths of this study include a detailed assessment of lifestyle and disease history, which may be associated with both smoking status and vitamin D, and if ignored may lead to confounding bias.

In conclusion, current smoking had lower serum concentrations of vitamin D than never smoking, and the associations showed a dose-response pattern, with greater number of cigarettes per day, longer smoking duration and more pack-years being associated with lower vitamin D. Longer duration of quitting smoking was associated with higher vitamin D than was current smoking.

**Contributors** LX, CQJ, YHC, YLJ, TZ, WSZ, KKC and THL substantially contributed to conception and design, and acquisition and interpretation of data. CQJ, YHC and LX drafted the article and THL revised it critically for important intellectual content. All the authors contributed to final approval of the version to be published.

**Funding** Key technology collaboration project funded by the Bureau of Guangzhou Science and Technology (Grant number 2012J5100041; 2013J4100031).

**Competing interests** None declared.

**Ethics approval** The GBCS received approval from the Guangzhou Medical Ethics Committee of the Chinese Medical Association, and all participants gave written informed consent.

**Provenance and peer review** Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

**Data sharing statement** Owing to ethical restrictions protecting patient privacy, data only available on request from the GBCS Data Access Committee. Please contact us at gbcdata@hku.hk.

**Open Access** This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

## REFERENCES

- Bischoff-Ferrari HA, Willett WC, Wong JB, *et al.* Fracture prevention with vitamin D supplementation: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *JAMA* 2005;293:2257–64.
- Song Y, Wang L, Pittas AG, *et al.* Blood 25-hydroxy vitamin D levels and incident type 2 diabetes: a meta-analysis of prospective studies. *Diabetes Care* 2013;36:1422–8.
- Elamin MB, Abu Elnour NO, Elamin KB, *et al.* Vitamin D and cardiovascular outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2011;96:1931–42.
- Shinkov A, Borissova AM, Dakovska L, *et al.* Winter 25-hydroxyvitamin D levels in young urban adults are affected by smoking, body mass index and educational level. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 2015;69:355–60.
- Kassi EN, Stavropoulos S, Kokkoris P, *et al.* Smoking is a significant determinant of low serum vitamin D in young and middle-aged healthy males. *Hormones (Athens)* 2015;14:245–50.
- Colao A, Muscogiuri G, Rubino M, *et al.* Hypovitaminosis D in adolescents living in the land of sun is correlated with incorrect lifestyle: a survey study in Campania region. *Endocrine* 2015;49:521–7.
- Lange NE, Sparrow D, Vokonas P, *et al.* Vitamin D deficiency, smoking, and lung function in the Normative Ageing Study. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2012;186:616–21.
- Cutillas-Marco E, Fuertes-Prosper A, Grant WB, *et al.* Vitamin D deficiency in South Europe: effect of smoking and ageing. *Photodermatol Photoimmunol Photomed* 2012;28:159–61.
- Grimnes G, Almaas B, Eggen AE, *et al.* Effect of smoking on the serum levels of 25-hydroxyvitamin D depends on the assay employed. *Eur J Endocrinol* 2010;163:339–48.
- Jiang C, Thomas GN, Lam TH, *et al.* Cohort profile: the Guangzhou Biobank Cohort Study, a Guangzhou-Hong Kong-Birmingham collaboration. *Int J Epidemiol* 2006;35:844–52.
- Xu L, Jiang CQ, Schooling CM, *et al.* Prediction of 4-year incident diabetes in older Chinese: recalibration of the Framingham diabetes score on Guangzhou Biobank Cohort Study. *Prev Med* 2014;69:63–8.
- Xu L, Jiang CQ, Lam TH, *et al.* Sleep duration and memory in the elderly Chinese: longitudinal analysis of the Guangzhou Biobank Cohort Study. *Sleep* 2014;37:1737–44.
- Deng HB, Macfarlane DJ, Thomas GN, *et al.* Reliability and validity of the IPAQ-Chinese: the Guangzhou Biobank Cohort study. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2008;40:303–7.
- Jiang CQ, Lao XQ, Yin P, *et al.* Smoking, smoking cessation and aortic arch calcification in older Chinese: the Guangzhou Biobank Cohort Study. *Atherosclerosis* 2009;202:529–34.
- Jiang CQ, Xu L, Lam TH, *et al.* Smoking cessation and carotid atherosclerosis: the Guangzhou Biobank Cohort Study—CVD. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 2010;64:1004–9.
- Lam KB, Jiang CQ, Jordan RE, *et al.* Prior TB, smoking, and airflow obstruction: a cross-sectional analysis of the Guangzhou Biobank Cohort Study. *Chest* 2010;137:593–600.
- Brot C, Jorgensen NR, Sorensen OH. The influence of smoking on vitamin D status and calcium metabolism. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 1999;53:920–6.
- Supervia A, Nogués X, Enjuanes A, *et al.* Effect of smoking and smoking cessation on bone mass, bone remodeling, vitamin D, PTH and sex hormones. *J Musculoskelet Neuronal Interact* 2006;6:234–41.
- Shi L, Nechuta S, Gao YT, *et al.* Correlates of 25-Hydroxyvitamin D among Chinese breast cancer patients. *PLoS ONE* 2014;9:e86467.
- Kühn T, Kaaks R, Teucher B, *et al.* Dietary, lifestyle, and genetic determinants of vitamin D status: a cross-sectional analysis from the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC)-Germany study. *Eur J Nutr* 2014;53:731–41.
- Soldin OP, Makambi KH, Soldin SJ, *et al.* Steroid hormone levels associated with passive and active smoking. *Steroids* 2011;76:653–9.
- O'Shaughnessy PJ, Monteiro A, Bhattacharya S, *et al.* Maternal smoking and fetal sex significantly affect metabolic enzyme expression in the human fetal liver. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2011;96:2851–60.
- Åsvold BO, Bjørngaard JH, Carslake D, *et al.* Causal associations of tobacco smoking with cardiovascular risk factors: a Mendelian randomization analysis of the HUNT Study in Norway. *Int J Epidemiol* 2014;43:1458–70.
- Li Q, Hsia J, Yang G. Prevalence of smoking in China in 2010. *N Engl J Med* 2011;364:2469–70.
- Sun Y, Jiang C, Cheng KK, *et al.* Milk consumption and cardiovascular risk factors in older Chinese: the Guangzhou Biobank Cohort Study. *PLoS One* 2014;9:e84813.

**BMJ Open**

# Smoking and serum vitamin D in older Chinese people: cross-sectional analysis based on the Guangzhou Biobank Cohort Study

C Q Jiang, Y H Chan, L Xu, Y L Jin, T Zhu, W S Zhang, K K Cheng and T H Lam

*BMJ Open* 2016 6:

doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2015-010946

---

Updated information and services can be found at:  
<http://bmjopen.bmj.com/content/6/6/e010946>

---

*These include:*

## References

This article cites 25 articles, 5 of which you can access for free at:  
<http://bmjopen.bmj.com/content/6/6/e010946#BIBL>

## Open Access

This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

## Email alerting service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article. Sign up in the box at the top right corner of the online article.

---

## Topic Collections

Articles on similar topics can be found in the following collections

[Nutrition and metabolism](#) (233)  
[Smoking and tobacco](#) (199)

---

## Notes

---

To request permissions go to:  
<http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions>

To order reprints go to:  
<http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform>

To subscribe to BMJ go to:  
<http://group.bmj.com/subscribe/>